Dear President of the Commission Ursula Von der Leyen, Executive Vice-President Teresa Ribera, Commissioner Dan Jørgensen, Commissioner Wopke Hoekstra, and Commissioner Maroš Šefčovič,

We write on behalf of the undersigned 188 organisations from the United States with a warning for European policymakers: do not bow to President Trump's pressure and increase your reliance on Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) or other fossil fuel imports from the United States.

The European Commission's recent Affordable Energy Action Plan¹ and Roadmap Towards Ending Russian Energy Imports² propose actions to mitigate the current energy affordability crisis and set out plans to end Russian energy imports by the end of 2027. The spirit behind these plans is laudable. Urgent action is needed to mitigate fossil fuel-driven unaffordable energy bills and to end reliance on gas from hostile and unreliable states.

However, rather than first and primarily doubling down on renewables and energy efficiency to cut and ultimately fully phase out EU gas imports overall, both plans focus on replacing and diversifying the European Union's gas sources. It is evident that shifting reliance on gas imports from one country to another undermines rather than supports the European Union's energy security and affordability. The European Union has already saved billions by cutting gas imports thanks to renewable energy, energy efficiency, and demand reduction, which has also brought down energy prices and increased energy independence.³

The European Union is in ongoing conversation with the Trump administration about increasing US LNG imports to avoid sweeping tariffs. The European Union is reportedly considering bending its EU Methane Regulation for the U.S. administration and companies.⁴ The European Union's methane regulation is a groundbreaking policy that, if implemented properly, can curb harmful methane emissions, protect communities near extractive sites, and allow the European Union to show the climate leadership it claims with its actions. In this letter we underline that increased reliance on LNG and other fossil fuel imports from the United States will undermine rather than support the European Union's energy security and affordability, while causing devastating local impacts. As a coalition of frontline communities and allies impacted by U.S. fossil fuel projects, we have witnessed and experienced these harmful impacts firsthand.

¹ European Commission, "Affordable Energy", 2025

² European Commission, "EU to fully end its dependency on Russian energy", 2025

³ Ember, "European Electricity Review 2025", 2025

⁴ Reuters, "US presses EU to align methane regulations for LNG", 2024; Bloomberg, "EU Will Help US Firms Meet Methane Rules to Boost LNG Trade", 2025

ENERGY SECURITY – The United States is not a reliable trade partner and hence a poor bet for energy security. A full phaseout of gas imports, from any source, is the only solution.

President Donald Trump recently announced sweeping tariffs⁵ on many countries, including in Europe before demanding⁶ the European Union purchase USD 350 billion in U.S. energy. That is almost three times the size of the global LNG market.⁷ The Trump administration has since announced an extension⁸ on tariffs, but continues to create instability and turbulence globally. This clearly shows the United States is not a reliable trade partner. Trump is creating global chaos with a barrage of policies destabilising the global economy while ignoring rule of law in his own country.⁹

In addition to not increasing reliance on U.S. gas, the European Union should avoid increasing gas import dependency from any other country, including countries that are considered reliable trade partners, while at the same time planning a just phaseout of fossil fuel production across the European Union. Canada, for example, has offered to increase its gas exports to the EU by up to 100,000 COE/d every day. Some of this gas originates from the world's 6th largest carbon bomb, the Montney Fracking Fields which has severe harmful impacts on local communities. It is also transported through the Coastal GasLink pipeline that has been pushed through Wet'suwet'en territory without consent, leading to well-documented militarised violence and violations of Indigenous rights set in the Canadian Supreme Court. True security requires an end to dependency on fossil fuels. It requires breaking free from oligarchs and billionaires who continue to profit while they throw fuel on the fire of the climate crisis. Since Russia's invasion of Ukraine, the European Union managed to reduce its gas demand by almost 20%, the way forward is for the bloc to continue its efforts, and operate a just and full transition away from fossil fuels, not tie itself to unpredictable fossil fuel producers, wherever they are.

⁵ Politico, "Trump hits 'pathetic' Europe with 25 percent tariffs", 2025

⁶ Politico, "Trump says EU must buy \$350B of US energy to get tariff relief", 2025

⁷ Straits Research, "Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Market Size", 2025

⁸ Politico, "Trump grants extension to European Union on tariff hike", 2025

⁹ The Guardian, "Trump's defiance of court orders is 'testing the fences' of the rule of law"

¹⁰ Oil Change International, "<u>Troubled Waters: How North Sea Countries Are Fueling Climate Disaster</u>", 2024

¹¹ Natural Resources Canada, "Minister Wilkinson Advances Energy Security and the Global Transition to Net Zero at the International Energy Agency Ministerial", 2022

¹² The Guardian, "Revealed: the 'carbon bombs' set to trigger catastrophic climate breakdown", 2022

¹³ PBS, "A Canadian Gas Field Is Polluting First Nation Communities", 2023

¹⁴ EyeSteelFilm, "YINTAH", 2024

¹⁵ Friends of the Earth Japan, "JBIC and Japan's LNG Financing Harms Communities and the Planet", 2024

¹⁶ The Guardian, "Canada police prepared to shoot Indigenous activists, documents show", 2019

¹⁷ Supreme Court of Canada, "Delgamuukw v. British Columbia", 1997

ENERGY AFFORDABILITY – U.S. gas is expensive and would increase EU energy prices. Renewables and energy efficiency are the available and affordable alternatives.

The U.S. Department of Energy's own 2024 LNG Export Study shows that increasing U.S. LNG exports will lead to increased emissions, displacing renewable energy and raising energy costs for EU consumers due to the expense of liquefaction, shipping, and regasification. The Department of Energy's analysis also shows exporting U.S. LNG would increase costs for U.S. consumers.¹⁸

Fossil fuel reliance from any source is costly and exposes the European Union to gas price volatility. Between 2021-2023, the European Union spent over EUR 650 billion in emergency measures to shield consumers and businesses from high costs of energy inflation, primarily linked to rising gas prices. ¹⁹ The most effective route towards cutting the European Union's energy bills is therefore doubling down on renewable energy and energy efficiency, alongside a fossil fuel phaseout. EU gas demand is already in decline, with a 19% drop in LNG imports in 2024 and a 20% reduction in overall gas consumption between 2021 and 2024. ²⁰ Since 2019, the European Union has avoided importing an additional 92 bcm fossil gas and 55 million tonnes more coal, which has helped the European Union save EUR 59 billion on fossil fuel imports. ²¹ The European Union can cut this bill further through a planned full and fair fossil fuel phaseout.

COMMUNITY IMPACTS – U.S. gas harms local communities in the United States and beyond. Gas from any source causes devastating local impacts as well as climate impacts that harm communities across the globe.

LNG terminals pose serious safety, public health, and environmental consequences for nearby communities, which are often located in Black, Brown, Indigenous, and low-income communities often deemed by industry as "sacrifice zones." In 2022, Freeport LNG exploded sending a fireball 450 feet in the sky and released roughly 120,000 cubic feet of LNG, methane. Meanwhile, communities impacted by LNG terminals are also seeing significant environmental consequences from dredging and higher vessel traffic, impacting vital coastal ecosystems and local industries like commercial fishing. In the serious safety of the se

¹⁸ United States Department of Energy Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management, "Natural Gas Regulation", 2024

¹⁹ Bruegel, "National fiscal policy responses to the energy crisis", 2023

²⁰ Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis, "European LNG Tracker", 2025

²¹ Ember, "European Electricity Review 2025", 2025

²² https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214629623001640

²³ Reuters, "U.S. regulator bars Freeport LNG plant restart over safety concerns", 2022

²⁴ Gas Outlook, "Louisiana LNG could be 'nail in the coffin' for local fishermen", 2024

LNG plants emit a toxic mix of carbon monoxide, sulfur dioxide, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs).25 According to the Environmental Health Project, studies have shown that these pollutants are associated with a range of health impacts, including headaches, coughing, dizziness, and other respiratory illnesses.²⁶ Furthermore, the air pollution from existing U.S. LNG export terminals is estimated to cause 60 premature deaths a year, at a total of just under USD 1 billion to public health.²⁷

Recent attempts to deregulate U.S. gas infrastructure²⁸ and gut environmental laws²⁹ will only make these impacts more severe for communities.

These impacts are not just prevalent in the United States, but are commonplace for fossil fuel projects across the world. In Canada, hundreds of physicians and nurses have come together to make it clear that fracking and LNG is harming their healthcare system³⁰: it is causing harmful air pollutants, high rates of childhood leukemia and premature deaths³¹. In the Philippines, an LNG terminal threatens the "Amazon of the Oceans," endangering over 300 coral species and the livelihoods of 2 million people. Developers of the Scarborough project in Australia failed to gain the free, prior, and informed consent of Indigenous people on whose land and sea country the project would take place. In Indonesia, fisherfolk have seen a drop in their net profits, some days down to one-tenth of what it used to be, due to the development of an LNG project and the entry restrictions placed on surrounding waters. In Mozambique, at least 550 families were displaced for an LNG project situated in a conflict-torn region that has been linked to human rights abuses of civilians. In order to live by its values and comply with its laws.³² the European Union should avoid enabling these harmful, reputation-damaging, and uneconomic projects, whether through gas imports or through investments using taxpayer's money through EU public finance institutions such as Export Credit Agencies (ECAs).³³

²⁵ Louisiana Illuminator, "LNG export terminals pose a growing and invisible threat; air pollution", 2023

²⁶ Environmental Health Project, "Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG): Health and Climate Impacts", 2023

²⁷ Greenpeace USA, "Permit To kill: Potential health and economic impacts from U.S. LNG export terminal permitted emissions", 2024

²⁸ The Hill, "Trump blocks rule to implement methane fee for oil and gas companies", 2025

²⁹ The Hill, "House advances budget bill boosting fossil fuels, undercutting environmental reviews", 2025

³⁰ Amira Aker et al., "The human health effects of unconventional oil and gas development (UOGD): A scoping review of epidemiologic studies", 2024
31 Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment, Canadian Association of Nurses for the Environment,

[&]quot;LNG and Health Care", 2025

³² European Commission, "Corporate sustainability due diligence", 2024

³³ Carbon Tracker, "Stranded Exports: How export credit agencies continue to finance risky overseas oil and gas projects", 2025

CLIMATE LEADERSHIP – Increased reliance on gas imports from the United States or anywhere else conflict with the European Union's climate commitments and its climate leadership ambitions.

Long-term reliance on gas imports from the United States or anywhere else contradicts the European Union's COP28 commitment to "transition away from fossil fuels" and undermines its emission reduction targets. A Contrary to industry claims, the evidence shows that increased LNG usage will lead to a net-increase in emissions, not lower them. In the current geopolitical climate, it is more important than ever for the European Union to maintain its role as climate leader and as Commissioner Jørgensen puts it, not backtrack but fast-track the green transition — so the European Union can become the European community of wind turbines, solar panels and geothermal generators rather than the Community of Coal and Steel allowing it to save up to USD 2.5 trillion on fossil fuel imports by 2040. This will benefit both households and the EU economy. Through reducing fossil fuel imports, ending fossil fuel handouts and making polluters pay, the European Union can free up the public funding that is needed for an accelerated transition to an equitable and fossil free future with good quality and green jobs.

The only way for the European Union to reach energy independence and security is to rapidly wind down and ultimately end its reliance on fossil fuel imports, from anywhere. It can and must do so. With up to 1 in 4 households in the European Union currently unable to adequately heat, cool, or light their homes, the European Union needs real measures to address energy poverty and affordability, such as banning disconnections and supporting renewable energy communities.³⁷ LNG and other gas investments and imports are not the answer. The European Union can save billions, build a resilient economy, and ensure Europe's long-term energy security and independence by accelerating a just transition towards renewables.³⁸

We are requesting a meeting to discuss this matter in further detail to help inform your decision-making.

Yours sincerely,

³⁴ United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, "COP28 Agreement Signals "Beginning of the End" of the Fossil Fuel Era", 2023

³⁵ Oil Change International, "Planned EU investments in LNG risk increased dependency on US at worst possible time", 2025

³⁶ The Parliament, "Europe's new Energy Union — cleaner, cheaper and more connected", 2025

³⁷ Right to Energy Coalition, "<u>Reaction to the EU's Action Plan for Affordable Energy</u>", 2025; Right to Energy Coalition, "<u>Energy Poverty</u>", 2025

³⁸ E3G, "Clean energy has already made the EU more secure – and more can be done", 2025

Accelerate Neighborhood Climate Action

Animals Are Sentient Beings

Arizona Health Professionals for Climate Action

ARTivism Virginia Austin Sierra Club

Beaver County Marcellus Awareness Community

Better Brazoria Co: Clean Air & Water

Better Path Coalition Between the Waters

Breathe Easy Susquehanna County

Bucks Environmental Action Businesses for a Livable Climate

Call to Action Colorado

Capitol Heights Presbyterian Church

CatholicNetwork US

Center for Biological Diversity Center for Oil & Gas Organizing Center for a Sustainable Coast Chesapeake Climate Action Network Citizens Caring for the Future

Citizens' Alliance for a Sustainable Englewood

Clean Earth 4 Kids Clean Energy Action The CLEO Institute

Climate & Community Institute

Climate Conversation Brazoria County

Climate Defenders Climate First! Climate Generation Climate Hawks Vote

Climate Reality Project Northern Colorado

Climate Reality Susquehanna Valley Pennsylvania

Coalition to Protect New York

Colorado Businesses for a Livable Climate Colorado Coalition for a Livable Climate

Colorado Cross-Disabilities Coalition

Colorado Dem Party Energy & Enviro Initiative

Colorado Jewish Climate Action Colorado Mothers Out Front Community for Sustainable Energy

Connecticut Citizen Action Group Delaware Riverkeeper Network

Dimock Environmental Research Center Divest Oregon: Reinvest in a Fossil Free Future

Dream Tank

Earth Care
Earth Ethics
Earthworks

Elmirans & Friends Against Fracking

Empower Our Future

For a Better Bayou For the Greater Good FracTracker Alliance

FreshWater Accountability Project

Fridays for Future USA

Friends of the Earth U.S. Glasgow Actions Team

Globeville-Elyria-Swansea Coalition Greater New Orleans Housing Alliance

Greater Park Hill Community

Green America

Green House Connection Center

Green Party of New York

Green Party of Washington State

GreenFaith

Gulf of Mexico Youth Climate Summit Gulf South Fossil Finance Hub

Hip Hop Caucus

Indivisible ADK / Saratoga Indivisible Ambassadors

Inland Ocean Coalition Inspiration of Sedona

Institute for Policy Studies Climate Policy Program

Interfaith EarthKeepers

International Marine Mammal Project

Interstate 70 Citizens Advisory Group

Larimer Alliance for Health, Safety, & Environment

Linnton Tank the Tanks Littleton Business Alliance

Long Beach Alliance for Clean Energy

Mayfair Park Neighborhood Association Mental Health & Inclusion Ministries

Methane Action

Micah Six Eight Mission

Michigan Clinicians for Climate Action

Midwest Building Decarbonization Coalition

Mind's Eye Productions

Montbello Neighborhood Improvement Association

Move Past Plastic NEPA Green Coalition

New Energy Economy

New Mexico No False Solutions

No False Climate Solutions Pennsylvania

North American Climate, Conservation & Environment

North Carolina Climate Justice Collective

North Country Earth Action North Range Concerned Citizens

Northern Colorado Community Rights Network

Nuclear Energy Information Service Nuclear Information & Resource Service Oasis Earth

Ocean Conservation Research Oil & Gas Action Network Oil Change International

Oilfield Witness

Olympic Climate Action

Oregon Physicians for Social Responsibility

Our Sacred Earth

Patriots from the Oil & Gas Shales Peace, Justice, Sustainability NOW!

Pennsylvania Interfaith Power & Light People for a Healthy Environment The People's Justice Council

Physicians for Social Responsibility Pennsylvania

Port Arthur Community Action Network

Preserve Giles County VA
Preserve Montgomery County VA
Protect Our Water, Heritage, Rights

Public Citizen

Putnam Progressives

The Quantum Institute Rachel Carson Council Rainforest Action Network RapidShift Network Rise Up West Virginia

Rogue Climate RTD Riders Alliance

San Antonio Bay Waterkeeper San Luis Obispo Clean Water Save Our Susquehanna

Save the Environmental Protection Agency

SAVE THE FROGS!

Scientist Rebellion Turtle Island

Small Business Alliance SoCal 350 Climate Action

Sonoma County Climate Activist Network South Texas Environmental Justice Network Southwest Organization for Sustainability

Spirit of the Sun Stand.earth

Sunnyside United Neighbors Syracuse Cultural Workers

System Change Not Climate Change

TAP Communications

Terra Advocati

Texas Campaign for the Environment

Third Act

Third Act Colorado
Third Act Sacramento

TRUST Climate Action Strategists

Turtle Island Restoration Network

Unitarian Universalists for Just Economic Community

Unite North Metro Denver

United Community Action Network of Metro Denver

United Native Americans

United Student Leaders Utah Moms for Clean Air

Veterans For Peace Baltimore Phil Berrigan Memorial

Vibrant Littleton Wall of Women

The Wei

Western Slope Businesses for a Livable Climate Women's Environment & Development Organization

Womxn from the Mountain Working for Racial Equity

Youth Climate Finance Alliance

Zero Hour

1000 Grandmothers for Future Generations

198 methods

350 Bay Area Action

350 Chicago 350 Dallas 350 Deschutes

350 Eugene 350 Hawaii

350 Juneau - Climate Action for Alaska

350 Kishwaukee 350 Massachusetts

350 Merced 350 Pensacola

350 Santa Barbara

350 Seattle 350 STL 350 Tacoma 350 Triangle

350 Wisconsin

350 Yakima Climate Action

350.org